East African Journal of Science, Technology and Innovation, Vol. 5 (2): March 2024

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons license, Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY NC SA 4.0)



# Prevalence of Babesia bigemina and Anaplasma marginale infections and their associated risk factors among calves in Narok County, Kenya

1\*KIBET N C., 1GITAU G K., 1PETER S G., 1MUASYA D W., 2NGETICH W

<sup>1</sup>Department of Clinical Studies, University of Nairobi, P.O Box 29053-00625, Kangemi, Kenya;

<sup>2</sup> Department of Veterinary Surgery, Theriogenology and Medicine, Egerton University P.O Box 536-201115-Egerton, Kenya

\*Corresponding Author: kibetnaomi5@gmail.com

#### Abstract

Babesiosis and anaplasmosis are major constraints to livestock production in many developing countries including Kenya. Therefore, their epidemiological data needs to be constantly updated. The current study was aimed at estimating the seroprevalence of Babesia bigemina and Anaplasma marginale and determine their associated risk factors among calves aged 3-12 months in Narok County, Kenya. A cross-sectional study was undertaken in Narok County, Kenya, between February and May 2023. A total of 402 calves from 76 farms were randomly selected from 8 villages in Sub-Counties of Naroosura Majimoto and Ololulunga. Data on individual calf and individual farm factors was collected via closed-ended questionnaires administered to someone who was involved the calves' management. Blood was collected from the calves and processed for microscopy (smears) and serology (indirect ELISA using monoclonal antibodies), respectively. Descriptive analysis was performed for both categorical and continuous variables. Mixed effect logistic regression analysis was used to determine the association between seropositivity of the various risk factors with the random effect being the farm. The overall estimation seropositivity of B. bigemina, A. marginale and mixed infections of B. bigemina and A. marginale was 60%, 60% and 38.1% respectively. The overall prevalence on microscopy for *B. bigemina*, *A. marginale* and mixed infections of B. bigemina and A. marginale was 22.9%, 32.6% and 11.4%, respectively. Factors significantly associated with the seropositivity of the infections were increase in age (OR=2.736 for A. marginale, 3.030 for B. bigemina and 2.073 for A. marginale/B. bigemina), calves that receive acaricide treatment (OR=0.445 for A. marginale and 0.536 for A. marginale/B. bigemina) and infection history on the farm (OR=3.803 for A. marginale/B. bigemina). In conclusion, the seroprevalence of B. bigemina and A. marginale was relatively high. Control and prevention efforts should be enforced to reduce the risk of clinical diseases from the hemoparasites.

Keywords: Babesia bigemina; Anaplasma marginale; seroprevalence; calves; risk	Received:	17/01/24
factors, Narok	Accepted:	12/03/24
	Published:	28/03/24

**Cite as**, *Kibet et al.*, (2024). Prevalence of *Babesia bigemina* and *Anaplasma marginale* infections and their associated risk factors among calves in Narok County, Kenya. *East African Journal of Science, Technology and Innovation* 5(2).

#### Introduction

Tick-borne infections such as theileriosis, babesiosis and anaplasmosis have significant epidemiological, economic and social impacts especially in the tropics and subtropics, affecting approximately 80% of the world's cattle population (Emongor *et al.*, 2000; Bock *et al.*, 2004). The leading bovine tick-borne infections in Kenya, include diseases caused by Anaplasma marginale (A. centrale having fewer reports), Babesia bigemina and Babesia bovis (Wesonga et al., 2017; Githaka et al., 2022; Shepelo, 2020). The main field vector for the transmission of Babesia bigemina and Anaplasma marginale is Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) decolaratus. However, Anaplasma *marginale* can be transmitted mechanically through contaminated fomites like hypodermic needles and biting flies (Potgieter and Stoltsz, 2004). These tick-borne diseases cause significant economic and production losses associated with reduced milk and meat production, morbidity, mortality and loss of draft power (Mureithi and Mukiria, 2015; Kanduma, 2018), eventually leading to hunger and poverty (Okuthe and Buyu, 2006). These diseases also cause indirect losses through costly control measures that include treatment and acaricide use and loss of cash income and reduced access to market (Minjauw and McLeod, 2003; Homewood et al., 2006; Kivaria, 2006; Gachohi et al., 2012). Exotic and cross bred cattle are more susceptible to these tick-borne diseases (Gachohi et al., 2012) in what is generally referred to as "lost potential". Some of these tick-borne diseases also have zoonotic potential (Beattie et al., 2002) hence of public health importance. The increasing vaccination against Theileria parva infections (Gachohi et al., 2012) may also lead to a relaxation in tick control by farmers, which translates to an increase in the occurrence of babesiosis and anaplasmosis cases.

Ticks, their vector, attach and bite and in heavily infested animals result in a negative economic effect on production and livelihood (Rodríguez-Vivas et al., 2017). Mastitis can be caused when tick bites on teat(s) become secondarily infected with bacteria (Abbas et al., 2014; Vudriko et al., 2016). Other direct impacts of tick infestation include: irritation and chronic stress which alter the animals' behavior and lead to immunosuppression, loss of energy (de Castro, 1997; Abbas et al., 2014), anemia due to excessive blood loss and tick paralysis. Tick infestation causes indirect losses that emanate from the cost of tick control and treatment for clinical cases, lost potential due to maintaining less productive tick-susceptible breeds, tick-transmitted pathogen impact (Alim et al., 2012; de Castro, 1997), trade restrictions on livestock products

and acaricide-contaminated animal products (Kariuki *et al.*, 1995; Kivaria, 2006).

There is a widespread limited and not updated information on the epidemiological profile of such tick-borne infections (Pegram et al., 1989; Mukhebi et al., 1992). Such reports would be necessary in highlighting the need for intensified routine surveillance of vector borne diseases to assess risks to animal and human exposure and "hotspots" identify for targeted control measures. In recent years, a further shift in the epidemiology of tick-borne diseases may have occurred due to human activities such as transboundary animal trade, nomadic pastoralism, deforestation and agricultural intensification and recent climatic changes (Githaka et al., 2021). To be able to develop sustainable mitigation efforts for tick-borne infections, a greater comprehension of the patterns of the tick-borne disease in a changing climate, is a requisite (Baylis and Githeko, 2006; Van den Bossche and Coetzer, 2008; Thornton et al., 2009).

Therefore, the study of the patterns of the epidemiology of Anaplasma and Babesia infections in calves in Narok County - Kenya, will be crucial in elucidating the disease burden in both production and society. livestock The information may provide biological evidence for control strategies such as no intervention or dipping, innate resistance exploitation or immunization (Norval et al., 1992; Perry and Young, 1995; Jonsson et al., 2012). The information gathered from this study may be used to predict disease outbreaks hence early detection and management which will contribute to increased livestock productivity from healthy cattle, thereby hence potentiating livestock's contribution to national GDP (Peter et al., 2020). Control strategies derived from the results of this study will have a meaningful positive impact on animal health, food production, economic growth, and public health, as visualized in the Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) in Kenya and surrounding countries. This study was carried out to estimate the prevalence of Anaplasma and Babesia infections and their associated risk factors among calves in Narok County, Kenya.

#### Materials and methods

#### Ethical statement

All the procedures were carried out according to ethical guidelines for use of animals and approved by Biosafety, Animal Use and Ethics Committee (BAUEC) of the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Nairobi, Kenya (FVM

Study area

#### Figure 1

Map of Narok County showing the study sites. The green represents the villages in the mixed production system, the blue represents the villages in the pastoral production system and the red represents villages in agropastoral system.



(Image source – Nge'tich et al., 2023. Accessed via https://www.eajsti.org/index.php/EAJSTI/article/view/765/234)

The study was conducted on farms in Narok County situated in the Rift Valley, south-west Kenya (Figure 1) (1°10′00″ and 2°10′00″ S, 34°14′50″ and 36°10′00″ E) (Ogutu *et al.*, 2011). The region is bordered by the Rift Valley to the East, the international border with Tanzania to the South, and the Siria Escarpment to the West. It includes the world-famous Masai Mara National Reserve (MMNR), a protected area for wildlife (about 1510 km<sup>2</sup>) along the border with Tanzania which marks the beginning of the Tanzanian Serengeti National Park. The MMNR is surrounded by community-owned group ranches (4870 km<sup>2</sup>), that act as wildlife dispersal

BAUEC/2023/417). The County Veterinary personnel and the local chiefs within the study area, were informed about the aim and protocol of the study. During each farm visit, the study objectives were explained to the farmers to obtain verbal consent for data and sample collection.

areas in the North and East. Land uses on these ranches include traditional livestock pastoralism, wildlife conservation, tourism and subsistence (maize and wheat) cultivation (Ogutu et al., 2011). The Maasai people living in Narok depend on livestock for their livelihoods. Pastoral livestock farming (mainly goats, camels, cattle and sheep rearing) (Gakuya et al., 2012) is the dominant production system in this area, which is characterized by intensive wildlife-livestockhuman interaction that includes the sharing of pasture and water. Rainfall in the Mara region is bimodal with a short rainfall period in November-December and a longer period in April-June. The long dry season spans July-October and the short dry season January-March. However, these seasons are not fixed and variations occur as the rains become less predictable (Norton-Griffiths et al., 1975).

#### Study design and sample size determination

A cross-sectional study was conducted between February and May 2023. The sample size for the calves in the study was determined according to the method described by Dohoo *et al.*, 2014 and Thrusfield, 2018 as follows:

 $n = 1.96^2 p (1 - p)$ 

$$L^2$$

The antibody prevalence of the *Anaplasma* and *Babesia species* infections was not known a priori and so, 50% prevalence and 5% tolerable error were assumed when determining the desired sample size of calves. The z value was 1.96 for the desired confidence level (95%), p was the approximate of the probable prevalence of the infections, L was the desired precision level (5% tolerable error). The total number computed was 384 calves, which was increased to 400 calves in the study to increase the sample size power.

## Selection of study areas, farms and animals

To obtain this sample, a sampling frame based on two wards, Naroosura Majimoto and Ololulunga, and three sub-locations, Olenkuluo, Olkiriaine and Ololulunga were purposively selected based on livestock production systems that is, mixed farming, agropastoral and pastoral. Sampling of the villages, households and calves was done through a stratified randomized sampling method. A list of all the households in the chosen villages was used to randomly select households to be recruited for the study, with the assistance of animal health officers, village elders, and research assistants in the respective sublocation to give a total of 76 farms. Since passively-derived colostral antibodies could affect the results of the study, only calves aged 3 months and above were recruited for the study (Burridge and Kimber, 1973; Gitau *et al.*, 1997; Swai *et al.*, 2005; Gachohi *et al.*, 2010).

#### Data collection

For each of the farm visited, a close ended questionnaire was administered to the animal owner or person normally in charge of livestock using Swahili or Maasai languages with the help of a local translator. The questionnaire had questions on general farm level management factors and calf level factors. In addition, data on farm demographics such as household size, respondents' gender, household main source of income, highest level of education and experience in cattle keeping were collected. Information on knowledge of ticks and tick-borne infections was also collected.

The farm level data collected included grazing system, source and type of fodder, housing practice, herd size, other animals present on the farm, source and introduction process of new animals, frequency of acaricide application, type of acaricide used, method of acaricide application, frequency and source of veterinary services, herd management related information and other disease control activities like vaccination and deworming. The animal-level factors collected included breed, age, sex, source of calf (brought-in or homebred), live weight, body condition score (based on a 1-5 scale as described by Roche et al., (2009), source of new animals, tick species present on the calves and any clinical presentation of infections, at the time of sampling as well as disease history (clinical signs manifested and type of treatment).

#### Sample collection

Blood was collected through the jugular vein using a disposable sterile blood collection needle, after disinfecting the collection site using 70% alcohol-soaked cotton wool, from each selected and well restrained calf, into 4 ml plain vacutainer tubes (Becton Dickinson Vacutainer Systems, UK) and ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) coated vacutainer. The vacutainer tubes were labelled to indicate a unique individual calf sample number before collection of the blood. Cool boxes containing ice packs were used to store the blood in vacutainers for about 2-5 hours in the field until refrigeration. samples were prepared through Sera centrifugation of blood in plain vacutainers at 4000rpms and stored in the cryovials in a freezer (-20 °C) and labelled correctly in the evening. Blood in EDTA coated vacutainers were used to prepare thin blood smears which were stained with giemsa and later screened under a microscope to detect Anaplasma and Babesia parasites.

## Serological testing of samples

Immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibodies against Babesia bigemina and Anaplasma marginale present in the samples were detected using an indirect enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay using 200kDa antigen and 19-kDa antigen (Morzaria et al., 1999; Tebele et al., 2000), based on a competitive inhibition principle. The procedures for the detection of antibodies by ELISA to Babesia bigemina and Anaplasma marginale have been described (Morzaria et al., 1999; Tebele et al., 2000). The tests sensitivity and specificity for Babesia bigemina and Anaplasma marginale are [97%, 98%] and [90%, 90%] respectively (Morzaria et al., 1999; Tebele et al., 2000). The samples were tested in duplicate. The ELISA test plate also had known negative and positive control sera. Using the formula from Wright *et al.*, (1993), the optical density (OD) values were expressed as PP (percent positivity), that is, (Optical Density of test serum/Optical Density of strong positive control) × 100. For a reading to be considered positive for antibodies to the Anaplasma species and Babesia species, it had to be 15 PP or above (Morzaria et al., 1999; Tebele et al., 2000).

## Data handling and statistical analysis

Field and laboratory data were entered, cleaned and coded using Microsoft Excel version 2016 (Redmond, WA, USA). The final data were imported into STATA 18.0 (StataCorp LLC, College station, Texas, USA) for the data analysis. Each individual animal and farm level variables investigated was analyzed independently using

univariable mixed effects logistic regression analysis to obtain their association with seropositivity. All variables with significant levels of  $P \le 0.1$  were entered into multivariable mixed effects logistic analysis. Substitution and elimination of these variables was performed to produce the most parsimonious multivariable model in which no variables could be removed without significantly altering model deviance. The level of significance was set as  $p \le 0.05$ . The variables were tested for interaction effect using cross-product terms and for multicollinearity using variance inflation factor and variance covariance estimator tests. In order to determine whether each of the created models fitted the data, a non-significant Hosmer and Lemeshow goodness of fit test and Pearson Chi Square ( $p \le$ 0.05) were used. Building of the multivariable model also included evaluation for confounding in the form of estimate changes >30% in risk variables (Dohoo et al., 2014).

## Results

## Prevalence of Babesia bigemina and Anaplasma marginale infections

The overall seroprevalence of Babesia bigemina, Anaplasma marginale and mixed infections were: 60% (241/402; 95% CI 55% - 65%), 60% (241/402; 95% CI 55% - 65%) and 38.1% (33.3% - 43.0%), respectively (Figure 2). When stratified by the agroecosystems, the seroprevalence of Babesia bigemina was 57.1% (108/189), 66.9% (87/130) and 55.4% (46/83) while for Anaplasma marginale was 56.1% (106/189), 67.7% (88/130) and 56.6% (47/83) in the agropastoral, mixed and pastoral systems, respectively. The overall prevalence based on microscopy was 22.9% (18.9%, 27.4%), 32.6% (28.1%, 37.4%) and 11.4% (8.6%, 15.1%) for Babesia bigemina, Anaplasma marginale and mixed infections of Babesia bigemina and Anaplasma marginale respectively (Figure 2).

#### Figure 2

Prevalence of *Babesia bigemina, Anaplasma marginale* and mixed *Babesia bigemina* and *Anaplasma marginale* infections based on the ELISA and microscopy among calves between February-May 2023



📕 Anaplasma 📕 Babesia 📕 Co-infection

#### Univariable analysis of risk factors

The factors significantly associated with *B. bigemina* infections at  $p \le 0.1$  were: the age of the calf, the body condition score of the calf, the suckling status of the calf, the presence of mineral supplements in forage, the purchasing of forage, acaricide application, whole body spraying of acaricides, deworming of calves, vaccinating of calves, the weight of the calf, tick infestation and the presence of blue tick (Table 1). Four variables had a positive association, while the other 10 had a negative association.

The factors significantly associated with *A*. *marginale* infections at  $p \le 0.1$  were: the age of the calf, the suckling status of the calf, the weight of the calf, the whole body spraying of acaricides, the presence of brown ear ticks (Table 2). Six variables had a positive association, while the other three had a negative association.

The factors significantly associated with coinfections of *B. bigemina* and *A. marginale* at  $p \le 0.1$  were: acaricide application, spraying acaricide on the whole body, feeding of mineral supplements, purchasing of feed, use of wood as shelter material, the calf weight and the presence of brown ear ticks (Table 3). Four variables had a positive association, while the other seven had a negative association.

## Risk factors associated with seroprevalence in multivariable analysis

The factors significantly associated with *B*. *bigemina* seropositivity at  $p \le 0.05$  were: calves aged 7 months and above and calves of body condition score between 3 and 4 (Table 4). The calves that were aged 7 months and above were more likely to test seropositive for B. bigemina compared to those aged below 7 months (OR = 3.030). The calves with a body condition ranging between 3 and 4 were less likely to test seropositive for B. bigemina compared to those with a body condition of 1 and 2 (OR = 0.467). (p-value Suckling status = 0.163) was confounding age and that is why it was included in the model. Suckling status, whether present or absent, will affect age and B. bigemina antibodies by 27.8%.

The factors associated with *A. marginale* seropositivity at  $p \le 0.05$  were: calves aged 5 months and above and weekly application of acaricide during dry seasons (Table 5). The calves

that were aged 5 months and above were more likely to test positive for *A. marginale* antibodies compared to those aged below 5 months (OR = 2.736). The calves that were raised on farms practicing acaricide application weekly in a

month during dry seasons were less likely to test positive for *A. marginale* antibodies compared to those on farms applying acaricides less than 4 times in a month (OR = 0.445).

## Table 1

Factors associated	d with Babesia bigemina	<i>i</i> infections from the	he univariable i	mixed effects	logistic regression
analysis (p-value	= 0.1) of calves in Narc	ok County between	n February and	May 2023	

Variable	Levels	Odds Ratio	Confidence Interval	p-value
Tick infestation	Present	1.561	0.995 - 2.450	0.053
	Absent	Reference		
Blue tick presence	Present	1.638	1.015 - 2.644	0.043
1	Absent	Reference		
Purchasing of forage	Yes	0.566	0.358 - 0.896	0.015
0 0	No	Reference		
Feeding mineral supplements	Yes	0.541	0.322 - 0.908	0.020
0 11	No	Reference		
Dewormed	Yes	0.501	0.278 - 0.901	0.021
	No	Reference		
Still suckling	Yes	0.468	0.240 - 0.911	0.025
č	No	Reference		
Levamisole and oxyclozanide use	Yes	0.404	0.200 - 0.818	0.012
5	No	Reference		
Vaccinated	Yes	0.404	0.151 - 1.084	0.072
	No	Reference		
Whole body acaricide spraying	Yes	0.333	0.096 - 1.150	0.082
, , , , ,	No	Reference		
Acaricide application	Yes	0.271	0.065 - 1.124	0.072
	No	Reference		
Body condition score	3	0.442	0.232 - 0.842	0.013
	1	Reference		
Body condition score	4	0.109	0.021 - 0.573	0.009
5	1	Reference		
Age		1.346	1.204 - 1.504	0.000*
Weight		1.027	1.015 - 1.039	0.000*

\*These are continuous variables.

## Table 2

Factors associated with *Anaplasma marginale* infections from the univariable mixed effects logistic regression analysis (p-value = 0.1) of among calves in Narok County between February and May 2023

Variable	Levels	Odds Ratio	Confidence Interval	p-value
Age	≥ 5 months	2.780	1.734 - 4.456	0.000
0	$\leq$ 5 months	Reference		
Weight	≥ 70 kg	2.246	1.393 - 3.621	0.001
0	≤ 70 Kg	Reference		
Acaricide application	≤ 2 times	1.806	1.013 - 0.220	0.045
11	≤ 2 times	Reference		
Perceived seasonality	Yes	1.140	0.779 - 1.668	0.500
2	No	Reference		
Brown tick	Presence	0.602	0.338 - 1.071	0.084
	Absent	Reference		
Still suckling status	Yes	0.540	0.272 - 1.073	0.079
	No	Reference		
Tick control method	Whole body spray	0.348	0.099 - 1.223	0.100
	Specific parts	Reference		

## Table 3

Factors associated with *B. bigemina* and *A. marginale* coinfections from the univariable mixed effects logistic regression (p – value = 0.1) among calves in Narok County between February and May 2023

Variable	Levels	Odds ratio	Confidence Interval	p-value
Weight	≥ 70kg	3.534	2.230 - 5.600	0.000
C	≤ 70 Kg	Reference		
Age	≥5 months	3.302	2.079 - 5.243	0.000
0	$\leq$ 5 months	Reference		
Month of samples collection	March	2.907	1.259 - 6.712	0.012
1	April & May	Reference		
Acaricide application frequency	≤2 times	2.216	1.297 - 3.787	0.004
	$\leq 2$ times	Reference		
Feeding mineral supplements	Yes	0.603	0.352 - 1.034	0.066
0 11	No	Reference		
Brown tick	Presence	0.586	0.317 - 1.084	0.088
	Absent	Reference		
Purchasing of forage	Yes	0.555	0.340 - 0.904	0.018
0 0	No	Reference		
Wood as shelter material	Yes	0.097	0.009 - 1.094	0.059
	No	Reference		
Acaricide application	Yes	0.311	0.093 - 1.037	0.057
	No	Reference		
Whole body spray of acaricides	Yes	0.308	0.102 - 0.925	0.036
	No	Reference		

#### Table 4

Factors associated with *B. bigemina* infections from the multivariable mixed effects logistic regression analysis (p – value = 0.05) among calves in Narok County between February and May 2023

Levels	Odds Ratio	Confidence Interval	p-value
$\geq$ 7 months	3.030	1.355 - 6.776	0.007
$\leq$ / months	Reference		0.004
3 and 4 1 and 2	0.467 Reference	0.277 - 0.789	0.004
	Levels $\geq$ 7 months $\leq$ 7 months 3 and 4 1 and 2	LevelsOdds Ratio $\geq$ 7 months3.030 $\leq$ 7 monthsReference3 and 40.4671 and 2Reference	LevelsOdds RatioConfidence Interval $\geq$ 7 months3.0301.355 - 6.776 $\leq$ 7 monthsReference3 and 40.4670.277 - 0.7891 and 2Reference

#### Table 5

Factors associated with *A. marginale* infections from the multivariable mixed effects logistic regression analysis (p – value = 0.05) among calves in Narok County between February and May 2023

Variable	Levels	Odds Ratio	Confidence interval	p-value
Age	≥ 5 months	2.736	1.733 - 4.320	0.000
	≤ 5 months	Reference		
Acaricide application frequency	4 times a month	0.445	0.275 - 0.722	0.001
	<4 times a month	Reference		

#### Table 6

Factors associated with coinfections of *B. bigemina* and *A. marginale* from the multivariable mixed effects logistic regression analysis (p – value = 0.005) among calves in Narok County between February and May 2023

Variable	Levels	Odds Ratio	Confidence Interval	p-value
Infections history	Present	3.803	1.683 - 8.591	0.001
5	Absent	Reference		
Age	$\geq$ 5 months	2.073	1.125 - 3.820	0.019
0	≤ 5 months	Reference		
Acaricide application	$\geq$ 2 times	0.536	0.342 - 0.842	0.007
	≤ 2 times	Reference		

The factors associated with *B. bigemina* and *A. marginale* seropositivity at  $p \le 0.05$  were calves aged 5 months and above, previous history of the exposure to the hemoparasites on the farm,

acaricide application more than twice in a month and the purchasing of feed (Table 6). The calves that were aged 5 months and above were more likely to test seropositive for *B. bigemina* and *A.*  *marginale* than those below 5 months (OR = 2.073). The calves being raised in farms where acaricide application was being done more than twice in a month were less likely to test seropositive for *B. bigemina* and *A. marginale* than those in farms where acaricide application was less than twice in a month (OR = 0.536). The calves that were raised on farms with a history of the two hemoparasites were more likely to test seropositive for *B. bigemina* and *A. marginale* than those in farms that did not have the history (OR=3.803).

## Discussion

The objectives of this study were to determine the seroprevalence of *Babesia* and *Anaplasma* and determine their associated risk factors among calves aged 3 – 12 months in Narok County, Kenya. The findings of this study confirmed the exposure to *Babesia* and *Anaplasma* hemoparasites through the detection of antibodies among calves in Narok County.

The study estimated the seroprevalence for *B. bigemina* at 60% which was higher than similar studies conducted in Kenya: 37.1% in rural Western Kenya (Okuthe and Buyu, 2006), 27.5% in periurban Western Kenya (Okuthe and Buyu, 2006) and 19% in Mbeere District (Gachohi *et al.*, 2010). However, this prevalence was closer to the 40.6% prevalence reported in Machakos (Wesonga *et al.*, 2017).

From this study, the estimated seroprevalence of A. marginale was 60% which was higher than previous reports, 15.8% in Machakos (Adjou et al., 2015), 32.1% in peri urban Western Kenya (Okuthe and Buyu, 2006), 19.7% in Western Kenya (Chiuya et al., 2021), 10.9% in the Coastal region (Masiga et al., 2022). This was close to studies in rural Western Kenva at 50.2% (Okuthe and Buyu, 2006), in Mbeere District at 58% (Gachohi et al., 2010), Machakos at 53.4% (Wesonga et al., 2017). A high seroprevalence for A. marginale has also been reported in other countries including: 57% in Soroti District, Uganda (Kabi et al., 2008), 41.1% in Tanga Region, Tanzania (Swai et al., 2009) and 50% in Central Equatorial State, South Sudan (Malak et al., 2012).

The relatively higher seroprevalence of *A*. *marginale* and *B*. *bigemina* reported in this study

were associated with continuous infected tick challenge, as the study area is considered to be an endemic area. The study area also practices open and communal grazing, which encourages constant exposure to tick vectors and the subsequent constant challenge of the hemoparasites, hence the presence of antibodies against the hemoparasites.

The seroprevalence of *A. marginale* and *B. bigemina* was similar, possibly because they have the same vector, that is, *R. decoloratus/R. microplus* ticks. These two hemoparasites can be co-acquired and transmitted simultaneously by the same vector tick (Adjou *et al.*, 2015). This also explains the co-presence of antibodies against both *A. marginale* and *B. bigemina. Anaplasma* species is reported to have broader transmission sources and dynamics including mechanically through iatrogenic route or hematophagous flies. The finding of a similar seroprevalence seems to suggest that the mechanical transmission route is of less importance in the epidemiology for *Anaplasma* species.

From this study, the seroprevalence estimated suggests a "near endemic stable state" or more accurately endemic instability (Norval *et al.*, 1992; Deem *et al.*, 1993; Gitau *et al.*, 1999; Maloo *et al.*, 2001) given that endemic stability is characterized by >70% seroprevalence while endemic instability by < 30% seroprevalence of the hemoparasites.

The probability of endemic status using seroprevalence is only indicated through a single cross-sectional study (Gitau *et al.*, 1997; Maloo *et al.*, 2001; Rubaire-Akiiki *et al.*, 2004; Swai *et al.*, 2005; Bazarusanga *et al.*, 2007). This prevalence can differ quite considerably with vector tick density, climatic conditions, human-related activities, vector control programs, habitat modification and host population density (Gitau *et al.*, 1999; Maloo *et al.*, 2001; Rubaire-Akiiki *et al.*, 2006; Olwoch *et al.*, 2008).

*Risk factors associated with Anaplasma marginale and Babesia bigemina seroprevalence* Calves aged 5 months and above had increased odds of testing seropositive for *A. marginale* infections, *B. bigemina* and both *A. marginale* and *B. bigemina* than those aged below 5 months. The relationship between age and *B. bigemina*  seroprevalence has been previously reported (Wray *et al.*, 2000; Magona *et al.*, 2008; Simuunza *et al.*, 2011; Terkawi *et al.*, 2011; Hamou *et al.*, 2012; Atif *et al.*, 2013; M'ghirbi *et al.*, 2016; Wesonga *et al.*, 2017). All age groups are susceptible to *B. bigemina* and *A. marginale* but the prevalence increases with age (Gachohi *et al.*, 2012). The sustained exposure to ticks translates to exposure to *Babesia* species which increases as the calves get older. The grazing of older cattle far in the bush also increases their chance of tick exposure, which consequently increases their chance of exposure to the hemoparasites (Bazarusanga *et al.*, 2007).

Calves being raised in farms that were reported to have a history of exposure to both *A. marginale* and *B. bigemina* had a higher odd of testing seropositive for *A. marginale* and *B. bigemina*. This suggests that an animal that survives an acute infection, may develop a persistent infection and serve as a carrier for *A. marginale* and *B. bigemina* in the herd (Kocan *et al.*, 2003). To be able to comprehend the epidemiology and control of the two hemoparasites, it is important to identify the chronically infected animals (Figueroa *et al.*, 1993; Calder *et al.*, 1996; Goff *et al.*, 2008).

Calves with body condition above 2 on a scale of 1-5, were less likely to test seropositive for *B*. bigemina compared to those with body condition of 2 and below. Various studies have reported a similar finding (Sitotaw et al., 2014; Admassu et al., 2015; Hamsho et al., 2015; Wodajnew et al., 2015; Adugna and Tamrat, 2022). Lowered immunity can be demonstrated in poorly conditioned cattle, which encourages the establishment of Babesia and Anaplasma infections. Establishing whether the depreciation in body condition is a result of the disease or other potential risk factors can only be possible through a longitudinal study.

From this study, acaricide application frequency was significantly associated with *A. marginale* 

## References

Abbas, R. Z., Zaman, M. A., Colwell, D. D., Gilleard, J., & Iqbal, Z. (2014). Acaricide resistance in cattle ticks and approaches to its management: the state of play. *Veterinary*  seropositivity and both *A. marginale* and *B. bigemina* seropositivity. Farms that applied acaricide weekly had lower odds of testing seropositive for *A. marginale* and those that applied acaricide more than twice in a month had lower odds of testing seropositive for *A. marginale* and *B. bigemina*. Acaricide application has been recommended for the prevention and control of infections of *B. bigemina* and *A. marginale* in cattle (Stachurski, 2000; Adjou, 2012; Adehan *et al.*, 2016a). Acaricide application effectiveness is shown through this study, as a high proportion (49.3%) of the study calves had no tick infestation.

## Conclusion

Findings in this study showed a considerably high seroprevalence of *Babesia bigemina* and *Anaplasma marginale* among calves between 3 months and 12 months in Narok County. Calves aged 5 months to 12 months were reported to have a higher seroprevalence to *Babesia bigemina* and *Anaplasma marginale* compared to those aged 3 months to 5 months. Acaricide application was associated with lower seroprevalence to *Babesia bigemina* and *Anaplasma marginale*.

## Acknowledgments

This work was funded in whole or part by the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) Bureau for Resilience and Food Security under Agreement # 7200AA20CA00022 as part of Feed Future Innovation Lab for Animal the Health. Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed here are those of the authors alone. The co-operation and participation of farmers in Narok County is highly appreciated. We also acknowledge the support of the County Government of Narok County, local animal health specialist and the Washington State University research team

#### Parasitology, 203(1-2), 6-20.

Adjou Moumouni, P. F., Aboge, G. O., Terkawi, M. A., Masatani, T., Cao, S., Kamyingkird, K., Jirapattharasate, C., Zhou, M., Wang, G., & Liu, M. (2015). Molecular detection and characterization of Babesia bovis, Babesia bigemina, Theileria species and Anaplasma marginale isolated from cattle in Kenya. *Parasites & Vectors*, 8(1), 1–14.

- Admassu, B., Yeneneh, H., Shite, A., Haile, B., & Mohammed, S. (2015). Prevalence and identification of major Ixodid tick genera of cattle in Dangila district, Awi Zone, North West Ethiopia.
- Adugna, H., & Tamrat, H. (2022). Epidemiological study on Ixodid tick infestation and tick borne haemopathogens on cattle in Awi Zone, northwest Ethiopia. *Veterinary Medicine and Science*, 8(5), 2194– 2205.
- Alim, M. A., Das, S., Roy, K., Masuduzzaman, M., Sikder, S., Hassan, M. M., Siddiki, A. Z., & Hossain, M. A. (2012). Prevalence of Hemoprotozoan Diseases in Cattle Population of Chittagong Division, Bangladesh. *Pakistan Veterinary Journal*, 32(2).
- Atif, F. A., Khan, M. S., Muhammad, F., & Ahmad, B. (2013). Sero-epidemiological study of Anaplasma marginale among cattle. *J Anim Plant Sci*, 23, 740–744.
- Baylis, M., & Githeko, A. K. (2006). Report for the foresight project on detection of infectious diseases. *Department of Trade and Industry UK Government*.
- Bazarusanga, T., Vercruysse, J., Marcotty, T., & Geysen, D. (2007). Epidemiological studies on Theileriosis and the dynamics of Theileria parva infections in Rwanda. *Veterinary Parasitology*, 143(3–4), 214–221.
- Beattie, J. F., Michelson, M. L., & Holman, P. J. (2002). Acute babesiosis caused by Babesia divergens in a resident of Kentucky. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 347(9), 697–698.
- Bock, R., Jackson, L., De Vos, A., & Jorgensen, W. (2004). Babesiosis of cattle. *Parasitology*, 129(S1), S247–S269.
- Burridge, M. J., & Kimber, C. D. (1973). Studies on colostral antibodies to Theileria parva using the indirect fluorescent antibody test. *Zeitschrift Fur Tropenmedizin Und Parasitologie*, 24(3), 305–308.

- Calder, J. A., Reddy, G. R., Chieves, L., Courtney, C. H., Littell, R., Livengood, J. R., Norval, R.
  A., Smith, C., & Dame, J. B. (1996). Monitoring Babesia bovis infections in cattle by using PCR-based tests. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 34(11), 2748–2755.
- Chiuya, T., Villinger, J., Masiga, D. K., Ondifu, D. O., Murungi, M. K., Wambua, L., Bastos, A. D. S., Fèvre, E. M., & Falzon, L. C. (2021). Molecular prevalence and risk factors associated with tick-borne pathogens in cattle in western Kenya. *BMC Veterinary Research*, 17(1), 1–17.
- de Castro, J. J. (1997). Sustainable tick and tickborne disease control in livestock improvement in developing countries. *Veterinary Parasitology*, 71(2–3), 77–97.
- Deem, S. L., Perry, B. D., Katende, J. M., McDermott, J. J., Mahan, S. M., Maloo, S. H., Morzaria, S. P., Musoke, A. J., & Rowlands, G. J. (1993). Variations in prevalence rates of tick-borne diseases in Zebu cattle by agroecological zone: implications for East Coast fever immunization. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, 16(3), 171–187.
- Dohoo, I. R., Martin, W., & Stryhn, H. E. (2003). Veterinary epidemiologic research.
- Emongor, R., Ngichabe, C. K., Mbithi, F. M., Ngumi, P. N., & Soi, R. K. (2000). Constraints to smallholder cattle production in four Districts of Kenya. 9th ISVEE Conference, Brickenridge, Colorado, 6– 11.
- Figueroa, J. V, Chieves, L. P., Johnson, G. S., & Buening, G. M. (1993). Multiplex polymerase chain reaction based assay for the detection of Babesia bigemina, Babesia bovis and Anaplasma marginale DNA in bovine blood. *Veterinary Parasitology*, 50(1– 2), 69–81.
- Gachohi, J. M., Ngumi, P. N., Kitala, P. M., & Skilton, R. A. (2010). Estimating seroprevalence and variation to four tickborne infections and determination of associated risk factors in cattle under traditional mixed farming system in Mbeere District, Kenya. *Preventive Veterinary*

Medicine, 95(3-4), 208-223.

- Gachohi, J., Skilton, R., Hansen, F., Ngumi, P., & Kitala, P. (2012). Epidemiology of East Coast fever (Theileria parva infection) in Kenya: past, present and the future. *Parasites & Vectors*, 5(1), 1–13.
- Gakuya, F., Ombui, J., Heukelbach, J., Maingi, N., Muchemi, G., Ogara, W., Mijele, D., & Alasaad, S. (2012). *Knowledge of mange among Masai pastoralists in Kenya*.
- Gitau, G. K., Perry, B. D., Katende, J. M., McDermott, J. J., Morzaria, S. P., & Young, A. S. (1997). The prevalence of serum antibodies to tick-borne infections in cattle in smallholder dairy farms in Murang'a District, Kenya; a cross-sectional study. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, 30(2), 95–107.
- Gitau, G. K., Perry, B. D., & McDermott, J. J. (1999). The incidence, calf morbidity and mortality due to Theileria parva infections in smallholder dairy farms in Murang'a District, Kenya. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, 39(1), 65–79.
- Githaka, N., Kanduma, E., & Bishop, R. (2021). Role of climate and other factors in determining the dynamics of tick and ticktransmitted pathogen populations and distribution in western, central and eastern Africa. In *Climate, ticks and disease* (pp. 486– 491). CABI Wallingford UK.
- Githaka, N. W., Bishop, R. P., Šlapeta, J., Emery, D., Nguu, E. K., & Kanduma, E. G. (2022).
  Molecular survey of Babesia parasites in Kenya: first detailed report on occurrence of Babesia bovis in cattle. *Parasites & Vectors*, 15(1), 1–11.
- Goff, W. L., Johnson, W. C., Molloy, J. B., Jorgensen, W. K., Waldron, S. J., Figueroa, J. V, Matthee, O., Adams, D. S., McGuire, T. C., & Pino, I. (2008). Validation of a competitive enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for detection of Babesia bigemina antibodies in cattle. *Clinical and Vaccine Immunology*, 15(9), 1316– 1321.
- Hamou, S. A., Rahali, T., Sahibi, H., Belghyti, D.,

Losson, B., Goff, W., & Rhalem, A. (2012). Molecular and serological prevalence of Anaplasma marginale in cattle of North Central Morocco. *Research in Veterinary Science*, 93(3), 1318–1323.

- Hamsho, A., Tesfamarym, G., Megersa, G., & Megersa, M. (2015). A cross-sectional study of bovine babesiosis in Teltele District, Borena Zone, Southern Ethiopia. J Veterinar Sci Technol, 6(230), 2.
- Homewood, K., Trench, P., Randall, S., Lynen, G., & Bishop, B. (2006). Livestock health and socio-economic impacts of a veterinary intervention in Maasailand: infection-andtreatment vaccine against East Coast fever. *Agricultural Systems*, 89(2–3), 248–271.
- Jonsson, N. N., Bock, R. E., Jorgensen, W. K., Morton, J. M., & Stear, M. J. (2012). Is endemic stability of tick-borne disease in cattle a useful concept? *Trends in Parasitology*, 28(3), 85–89.
- Kabi, F., Magona, J. W., Nasinyama, G. W., & Walubengo, J. (2008). Sero-prevalences of Tick-borne infections among the Nkedi Zebu and Ankole cattle in Soroti district, Uganda. *The Journal of Protozoology Research*, 18(2), 61–70. https://doi.org/10.32268/jprotozoolres.18. 2\_61
- Kanduma, E. G. (2018). Improving tick-borne diseases control in Kenya: A strategy to incorporate multiple approaches. *AgriFoSe2030/ILRI Policy Brief*.
- Kariuki, D. P., Young, A. S., Morzaria, S. P., Lesan, A. C., Mining, S. K., Omwoyo, P., Wafula, J. L. M., & Molyneux, D. H. (1995). Theileria parva carrier state in naturally infected and artificially immunised cattle. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, 27(1), 15–25.

https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02236328

Katende, J. M., Toye, P., Skilton, R. A., Nene, V., Morzaria, S. P., & Musoke, A. J. (1998). An ELISA for detection of Theileria parva antibodies in cattle using a recombinant polymorphic immunodominant molecule. *Parasitology Research*, 84(5), 408–416.

- Kivaria, F. M. (2006). Estimated direct economic costs associated with tick-borne diseases on cattle in Tanzania. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, *38*(4), 291–299.
- Kocan, K. M., De la Fuente, J., Guglielmone, A. A., & Meléndez, R. D. (2003). Antigens and alternatives for control of Anaplasma marginale infection in cattle. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, 16(4), 698–712.
- M'ghirbi, Y., Bèji, M., Oporto, B., Khrouf, F., Hurtado, A., & Bouattour, A. (2016). Anaplasma marginale and A. phagocytophilum in cattle in Tunisia. *Parasites & Vectors*, *9*, 1–8.
- Magona, J. W., Walubengo, J., Olaho-Mukani, W., Jonsson, N. N., Welburn, S. C., & Eisler, M. C. (2008). Clinical features associated with seroconversion to Anaplasma marginale, Babesia bigemina and Theileria parva infections in African cattle under natural tick challenge. *Veterinary Parasitology*, 155(3–4), 273–280.
- Malak, A. K., Mpoke, L., Banak, J., Muriuki, S., Skilton, R. A., Odongo, D., Sunter, J., & Kiara, H. (2012). Prevalence of livestock diseases and their impact on livelihoods in Central Equatoria State, southern Sudan. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, 104(3–4), 216–223.
- Maloo, S. H., Ngumi, P., Mbogo, S., Williamson, S., Thorpe, W., Rowlands, G. J., & Perry, B. D. (2001). Identification of a target population for immunisation against East Coast fever in coastal Kenya. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, 52(1), 31–41.
- Maloo, S. H., Thorpe, W., Kioo, G., Ngumi, P., Rowlands, G. J., & Perry, B. D. (2001). Seroprevalences of vector-transmitted infections of small-holder dairy cattle in coastal Kenya. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, 52(1), 1–16.
- Masiga, D., Ten Bosch, Q., Villinger, J., Koenraadt, C. J. M., & Kalayou, S. (2022). Epidemiology of tick-borne pathogens of cattle and tick control practices in coastal Kenya. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, 209, 105777.

- Minjauw, B., & McLeod, A. (2003). Tick-borne diseases and poverty: the impact of ticks and tick-borne diseases on the livelihoods of small-scale and marginal livestock owners in India and eastern and southern Africa. Tick-Borne Diseases and Poverty: The Impact of Ticks and Tick-Borne Diseases on the Livelihoods of Small-Scale and Marginal Livestock Owners in India and Eastern and Southern Africa.
- Morzaria, S. P., Katende, J., Musoke, A., Nene, V., Skilton, R., & Bishop, R. (1999). Development of sero-diagnostic and molecular tools for the control of important tick-borne pathogens of cattle in Africa. *Parassitologia*, 41, 73–80.
- Mukhebi, A. W., Perry, B. D., & Kruska, R. (1992). Estimated economics of theileriosis control in Africa. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, 12(1–2), 73–85.
- Mureithi, D. K., & Mukiria, E. W. (2015). An assessment of tick-borne diseases constraints to livestock production in a smallholder livestock production system: a case of njiru district, Kenya.
- Norton-Griffiths, M., Herlocker, D., & Pennycuick, L. (1975). The patterns of rainfall in the Serengeti ecosystem, Tanzania. *African Journal of Ecology*, *13*(3-4), 347–374.
- Norval, R. A. I., Perry, B. D., & Young, A. S. (1992). *The epidemiology of theileriosis in Africa*. ILRI (aka ILCA and ILRAD).
- Ogutu, J. O., Owen-Smith, N., Piepho, H., & Said, M. Y. (2011). Continuing wildlife population declines and range contraction in the Mara region of Kenya during 1977– 2009. *Journal of Zoology*, 285(2), 99–109.
- Okuthe, O. S., & Buyu, G. E. (2006). Prevalence and incidence of tick-borne diseases in smallholder farming systems in the western-Kenya highlands. *Veterinary Parasitology*, 141(3–4), 307–312.
- Olwoch, J. M., Reyers, B., Engelbrecht, F. A., & Erasmus, B. F. N. (2008). Climate change and the tick-borne disease, Theileriosis (East Coast fever) in sub-Saharan Africa.

Journal of Arid Environments, 72(2), 108–120.

- Pegram, R. G., Lemche, J., Chizyuka, H. G. B., Sutherst, R. W., Floyd, R. B., Kerr, J. D., & McCosker, P. J. (1989). Effect of tick control on liveweight gain of cattle in central Zambia. *Medical and Veterinary Entomology*, 3(3), 313–320.
- Perry, B. D., & Young, A. S. (1995). The past and future roles of epidemiology and economics in the control of tick-borne diseases of livestock in Africa: the case of theileriosis. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, 25(2), 107– 120.
- Peter, S. G., Aboge, G. O., Kariuki, H. W., Kanduma, E. G., Gakuya, D. W., Maingi, N., Mulei, C. M., & Mainga, A. O. (2020). Molecular prevalence of emerging Anaplasma and Ehrlichia pathogens in apparently healthy dairy cattle in periurban Nairobi, Kenya. *BMC Veterinary Research*, 16(1), 1–12.
- Potgieter, F. T., & Stoltsz, Wh. (2004). Bovine anaplasmosis. *Infectious Diseases of Livestock*, *Volume One*, Ed. 2, 594–616.
- Roche, J. R., Friggens, N. C., Kay, J. K., Fisher, M. W., Stafford, K. J., & Berry, D. P. (2009). Invited review: Body condition score and its association with dairy cow productivity, health, and welfare. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 92(12), 5769–5801.
- Rodríguez-Vivas, R. I., Grisi, L., Pérez de León, A. A., Villela, H. S., Torres-Acosta, J. F. de J., Fragoso Sánchez, H., Romero Salas, D., Rosario Cruz, R., Saldierna, F., & García Carrasco, D. (2017). Potential economic impact assessment for cattle parasites in Mexico. Review. *Revista Mexicana de Ciencias Pecuarias*, 8(1), 61–74.
- Rubaire-Akiiki, C. M., Okello-Onen, J., Musunga, D., Kabagambe, E. K., Vaarst, M., Okello, D., Opolot, C., Bisagaya, A., Okori, C., & Bisagati, C. (2006). Effect of agro-ecological zone and grazing system on incidence of East Coast Fever in calves in Mbale and Sironko Districts of Eastern Uganda. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, 75(3-4), 251– 266.

- Rubaire-Akiiki, C., Okello-Onen, J., Nasinyama, G. W., Vaarst, M., Kabagambe, E. K., Mwayi, W., Musunga, D., & Wandukwa, W. (2004). The prevalence of serum antibodies to tick-borne infections in Mbale District, Uganda: The effect of agro-ecological zone, grazing management and age of cattle. *Journal of Insect Science*, 4(1), 8.
- Simuunza, M., Weir, W., Courcier, E., Tait, A., & Shiels, B. (2011). Epidemiological analysis of tick-borne diseases in Zambia. *Veterinary Parasitology*, 175(3–4), 331–342.
- Sitotaw, T., Regassa, F., Zeru, F., & Kahsay, A. G. (2014). Epidemiological significance of major hemoparasites of ruminants in and around Debre-Zeit, Central Ethiopia. *Journal of Parasitology and Vector Biology*, 6(2), 16–22.
- Swai, E. S., French, N. P., Karimuribo, E. D., Fitzpatrick, J. L., Bryant, M. J., Brown, P. E., & Ogden, N. H. (2005). Spatial and management factors associated with exposure of smallholder dairy cattle in Tanzania to tick-borne pathogens. *International Journal for Parasitology*, 35(10), 1085–1096.
- Swai, E. S., Karimuribo, E. D., Kambarage, D. M., & Moshy, W. E. (2009). A longitudinal study on morbidity and mortality in youngstock smallholder dairy cattle with special reference to tick borne infections in Tanga region, Tanzania. *Veterinary Parasitology*, 160(1–2), 34–42.
- Tebele, N., Skilton, R. A., Katende, J., Wells, C. W., Nene, V., McElwain, T., Morzaria, S. P., & Musoke, A. J. (2000). Cloning, characterization, and expression of a 200-kilodalton diagnostic antigen of Babesia bigemina. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 38(6), 2240–2247.
- Terkawi, M. A., Thekisoe, O. M. M., Katsande, C., Latif, A. A., Mans, B. J., Matthee, O., Mkize, N., Mabogoane, N., Marais, F., & Yokoyama, N. (2011). Serological survey of Babesia bovis and Babesia bigemina in cattle in South Africa. Veterinary Parasitology, 182(2–4), 337–342.

- Thornton, P. K., van de Steeg, J., Notenbaert, A., & Herrero, M. (2009). The impacts of climate change on livestock and livestock systems in developing countries: A review of what we know and what we need to know. *Agricultural Systems*, 101(3), 113–127.
- Thrusfield, M. (2018). *Veterinary epidemiology*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Van den Bossche, P., & Coetzer, J. A. (2008). Climate change and animal health in Africa. *Revue Scientifique et Technique (International Office of Epizootics)*, 27(2), 551–562.
- Vudriko, P., Okwee-Acai, J., Tayebwa, D. S., Byaruhanga, J., Kakooza, S., Wampande, E., Omara, R., Muhindo, J. B., Tweyongyere, R., & Owiny, D. O. (2016). Emergence of multiacaricide resistant Rhipicephalus ticks and its implication on chemical tick control in Uganda. *Parasites & Vectors*, 9(1), 1–13.

- Wesonga, F. D., Gachohi, J. M., Kitala, P. M., Gathuma, J. M., & Njenga, M. J. (2017). Seroprevalence of Anaplasma marginale and Babesia bigemina infections and associated risk factors in Machakos County, Kenya. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, 49(2), 265–272.
- Wodajnew, B., Disassa, H., Kabeta, T., Zenebe, T., & Kebede, G. (2015). Study on the prevalence of bovine babesiosis and its associated risk factors in and around Assosa Woreda, Benishangul Gumuz regional state, western Ethiopia. *Researcher*, 7(8), 33– 39.
- Wray, K., Musuka, G., Trees, A. J., Jongejan, F., Smeenk, I., & Kelly, P. J. (2000). Babesia bovis and B. bigemina DNA detected in cattle and ticks from Zimbabwe by polymerase chain reaction. *Journal of the South African Veterinary Association*, 71(1), 21–24.